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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Voriconazole, a novel triazole antifungal agent, presents potent activity against a broad spectrum of yeast and molds. To determine whether it could be safely used as an intravitreal agent in the treatment of fungal endophthalmitis, retinal toxicity of voriconazole was examined in a rodent animal model.

Methods: Voriconazole solutions were serially diluted and injected intravitreally into eyes of normal adult Sprague-Dawley rats so that the final intravitreal concentrations were 5 µg, 10 µg, 25 µg, 50 µg, and 500 µg/mL (N = 3 for each concentration group). Saline was injected into the fellow eyes of each animal as controls. Three weeks after injections, electroretinograms (ERGs) were measured, and eyes were subsequently enucleated for histologic examination.

Results: In ERG studies, maximum scotopic b-wave, bmax, intensity needed for half saturation, I0.5, and saturated a-wave amplitude were measured. There was no statistically significant difference in these parameters recorded between control eyes and voriconazole-injected eyes in any concentration groups. Histologic examination with light microscopy did not reveal any retinal abnormality in the eyes with 5 to 25 µg/mL intravitreal voriconazole. In the eyes with 50 µg/mL and 500 µg/mL voriconazole, small foci of retinal necrosis were occasionally observed in the outer retina, especially in the eyes with 500 µg/mL voriconazole.

Conclusion: Our results demonstrate that intravitreal voriconazole of up to 25 mg/mL causes no ERG change or histologic abnormality in rat retina. This indicates that voriconazole is a safe antifungal agent that may be employed by intravitreal injection in the treatment of fungal endophthalmitis.

INTRODUCTION

Fungal endophthalmitis, although uncommon, remains a serious ophthalmologic challenge owing to its limited available treatments and potentially devastating ocular consequences. Fungal endophthalmitis can be of exogenous origin, such as ocular trauma or surgery, or can be caused by endogenous infection spreading to the eye, such as in immunocompromised patients. Until recently, intravitreal injection of amphotericin B has been the principal treatment for fungal endophthalmitis, although other potential intravitreal antifungal agents have been investigated.

However, intravitreal amphotericin B, even at low concentrations, 4.1 µg/mL or 8.3 µg/mL (5 µg or 10 µg injection into 1.2 mL of rabbit vitreous), can cause focal retinal necrosis. Furthermore, resistance to amphotericin B has been documented in a variety of human systemic fungal infections. Fluconazole, a triazole agent, has been used systemically as a supplement or alternative to amphotericin B to treat fungal endophthalmitis, since it can reach effective concentration in the vitreous after oral administration, but it lacks a broad spectrum of coverage against many of the most commonly encountered organisms found in fungal endophthalmitis. Thus ophthalmologists have been very limited in the number of effective antifungal agents, and the current treatment protocols for fungal endophthalmitis are far from optimal.

Recently, a new antifungal agent, voriconazole, has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for systemic fungal infection. Voriconazole is a second-generation synthetic derivative of fluconazole, and it differs from fluconazole by the addition of a methyl group to the propyl backbone and by the substitution of a triazole moiety with a fluoropyrimidine group. The structural changes in voriconazole result in a higher affinity for the...
fungal 14-α-demethylase, leading to more potent activities.\textsuperscript{22} Like fluconazole, voriconazole exerts its effects primarily by inhibiting the fungal cytochrome P450 CYP3A enzyme lanosterol 14-α-demethylase, preventing the conversion of lanosterol to ergosterol. This, in turn, causes depletion of ergosterol, which disrupts the integrity and function of the fungal cell membrane, eventually leading to cell lysis.\textsuperscript{13} Voriconazole also inhibits 24-methylene dihydrolanasterol demethylation in certain yeast and filamentous fungi, explaining its increased activities against molds.\textsuperscript{14,15}

Many recent studies report that this novel triazole antifungal agent presents potent activity against a broad spectrum of yeast and molds. When compared with amphotericin B, fluconazole, itraconazole, and flucytosine against 6,970 isolates of Candida species obtained from over 200 medical centers worldwide, voriconazole and ravuconazole (another new triazole agent) were each more active than amphotericin B against all Candida species and were the only agents with good activity against Candida krusei.\textsuperscript{16} Candida albicans is generally the most susceptible yeast, with a voriconazole MIC\textsubscript{90} (the concentration of drug causing a 90% growth inhibition of organisms) of only 0.06 µg/mL, while Candida glabrata is the least sensitive, with a MIC\textsubscript{90} of 2.0 µg/mL.\textsuperscript{17} Other studies showed that voriconazole was more active than amphotericin B against filamentous fungi, such as Aspergillus species, with a mean MIC of 0.19 to 0.58 µg/mL, and Pseudallescheria boydii,\textsuperscript{18,19} especially invasive Aspergillus,\textsuperscript{20} with a minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC: at tissue concentrations approximately twice that of MIC) of 0.7 to 1.0 µg/mL.\textsuperscript{12} The endemic fungal pathogens Fusarium species, Histoplasma capsulatum, Coccioidioides immitis, Blastomyces dermatitidis, Penicillium marneffei, Scedosporium apiospermum, Paracoccidioides brasiliensis, and Cryptococcus neoformans, and the dermatophytes are also fully susceptible to voriconazole.\textsuperscript{21,22} Voriconazole also has good activity against those fungi that are resistant to the other commonly used antifungal agents, such as amphotericin B and fluconazole.\textsuperscript{13,12,22} Voriconazole does not appear to have cross-resistance with amphotericin B, likely because of the different sites of action of the two agents.\textsuperscript{24}

Since the treatment for fungal endophthalmitis is very limited and voriconazole shows potent broad-spectrum coverage for fungal infections, this study was designed to examine whether voriconazole could be safely used as an intravitreal agent in the treatment of fungal endophthalmitis. Rats were used as animal models in our study. Intravitreal voriconazole injections were performed, and retinal function and anatomy were subsequently examined using electroretinographic and histologic studies.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

Sprague-Dawley albino rats 6 to 7 weeks old (approximately 250 g) were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, Mass). Animals were fed ad libitum with Purina lab chow and water, and room lighting consisted of a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle. The experiments were carried out in accordance with ARVO principles of animal maintenance and care and were approved by the institutional review board at Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Tex.

**Voriconazole Intravitreal Injection**

Animals were anesthetized with intraperitoneal injections of a solution containing ketamine (95 mg/mL) and xylazine (5 mg/mL) in a dosage of 0.2 mL/100 g of body weight. Proparacaine hydrochloride 0.5% (Alcon Labs, Inc, Forth Worth, Tex) was used for additional topical anesthesia. Ofloxacin ophthalmic solution 0.3% (Allergan, Inc, Irvine, Calif) was applied to the ocular surface before injection, and bacitracin ophthalmic ointment, 500 units/g (E Fougera & Co, Melville, NY), after injection, to prevent infection. Voriconazole IV (Vfend), a white lyophilized powder, was obtained from Pfizer, Inc. Based on a study of microbial keratitis caused by a variety of fungal pathogens, the MIC of voriconazole is 0.5 µg/mL to 5.0 µg/mL.\textsuperscript{28} Since this is a retinal toxicity study, we chose to use 5 µg/mL as MIC, although it is much higher than those in the literature (see "Introduction"). Voriconazole solutions were serially diluted with balanced salt solution (BSS) (Alcon Labs, Inc) so that the final intravitreal concentrations were 5.0 µg, 10 µg, 25 µg, 50 µg, and 500 µg/mL (1, 2, 5, 10, and 100 fold of MIC, respectively) based on prior data that adult rat vitreous volume is 56 ± 4 µL.\textsuperscript{29} Serially diluted voriconazole solutions of 6 mL were injected intravitreally into rat eyes under a dissecting microscope using a Hamilton micro-injector (Hamilton Co, Reno, Nev) (N=3 for each concentration group). A 30-gauge needle was first used to make a punch incision 0.5 mm posterior to the temporal limbus, and the Hamilton needle was then inserted through the incision, approximately 1.5 mm deep, angled toward the optic nerve until the tip of needle was visualized in the center of vitreous. BSS of equal volume (6 µL) was injected into the fellow eyes of each animal as controls. Following intravitreal injection, animals were kept under ambient light on a 12-hour light/dark schedule. Three weeks after injection, animals were processed for electroretinographic recordings and subsequent retinal histologic examinations.

**Electroretinogram Recordings**

Prior to testing, rats were allowed to dark adapt overnight.
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Under dim red light, rats were anesthetized with a solution of ketamine (95 mg/mL) and xylazine (5 mg/mL). Pupils were dilated with a single drop of 0.5% mydriacyl and 2.5% phenylephrine. A drop of 0.5% proparacaine hydrochloride was applied for corneal anesthesia. Rats were placed on a heating pad maintained at 39ºC, inside a Ganzfeld dome coated with highly reflective white paint (Munsell paint, New Windsor, NY). A small amount of 2.5% methylcellulose gel was applied to the eye, and a platinum electrode was placed in contact with the center of the cornea. Similar platinum reference and ground electrodes were placed in the forehead and tail, respectively. After placement in the dome, rats were kept in complete darkness for several minutes. Signals were amplified with a Grass P122 amplifier (bandpass, 0.1 Hz to 1,000 Hz). Data were acquired with National Instruments Lab-PC DAQ board (sampling rate, 10,000 Hz). Traces were averaged and analyzed with custom software written in Matlab. flashes were calibrated in a manner similar to that described and are detailed elsewhere. Flashes for scotopic b-wave measurements were generated by a Grass PS-33+ photostimulator. Light was spectrally filtered with a 500-nm interference filter (Edmund Scientific). Flashes varied in intensity from approximately 2.92 log scotopic candela-sec/m². Where. Flashes for scotopic b-wave measurements were generated by a Grass PS-33+ photostimulator. Light was spectrally filtered with a 500-nm interference filter (Edmund Scientific). Flashes varied in intensity from approximately 2.92 log scotopic candela-sec/m².

In eyes with 5 mg/mL intravitreal voriconazole, bmax measured 685 ± 70 mV (n=2) and I0.5 measured −2.93 ± 0.09 log scotopic candela-sec/m². In eyes with 50 mg/mL intravitreal voriconazole, bmax measured 700 ± 90 mV (n=3) and I0.5, −2.96 ± 0.04 log scotopic candela-sec/m². In eyes with 500 mg/mL voriconazole, bmax measured 700 ± 90 mV (n=3) and I0.5 measured −2.89 ± 0.06 log scotopic candela-sec/m². There was no statistical difference in bmax and I0.5 between control eyes and any of the voriconazole-injected eyes using the two-way Student t test.

To more directly characterize rod photoreceptor function, we measured the scotopic a wave of the electroretinogram (ERG), which in the rat arises almost exclusively from the rod photoreceptors. Figure 1, bottom row, shows the response to an intense flash, which saturated the rod photoreceptors. The saturated a-wave amplitude from control eyes measured 380 ± 65 mV (n=4). The saturated a-wave amplitudes for the eyes with 5, 50, and 500 mg/mL intravitreal voriconazole were 305 ± 10 (n=3), 365 ± 84 (n=2), and 355 ± 15 mV (n=3), respectively. There was no statistical difference in scotopic a-wave response between control eyes and any voriconazole-injected eyes using the two-way Student t test. Even in eyes with 500 µg/mL intravitreal voriconazole (100-fold MIC), the ERGs showed little difference compared with the control eyes. In one of the voriconazole-injected eyes (5 µg/mL), a cataract developed as a result of the needle injury during injection. The ERG showed mild depression due to medium opacity in the eye. These data were excluded from analysis.

RESULTS

ELECTRORETINOGRAM
The scotopic b wave is a measurement of the extracellular field potential that primarily arises from rod bipolar cells in response to dim flashes of light. Figure 1, top row, shows scotopic b-wave responses to increasing intensities of flashed light. The relationship between scotopic b-wave amplitude and intensity can be modeled using a hyperbolic saturation function (Naka-Rushton function). This model yields two parameters, bmax, scot and I0.5, representing the maximum b-wave amplitude and the intensity that provides half saturation. In eyes without any injection, bmax measured 790 ± 100 mV (n=4) and I0.5 measured −3.01 ± 0.06 log scotopic candela-sec/m². In eyes with BSS injection as control, bmax and I0.5 were basically the same as the eyes without any injection. In eyes with 5 mg/mL intravitreal voriconazole, bmax measured 685 ± 70 mV (n=2) and I0.5 measured −2.93 ± 0.09 log scotopic candela-sec/m². In eyes with 50 mg/mL intravitreal voriconazole, bmax measured 700 ± 90 mV (n=3) and I0.5, −2.96 ± 0.04 log scotopic candela-sec/m². There was no statistical difference in bmax and I0.5 between control eyes and any of the voriconazole-injected eyes using the two-way Student t test.

In eyes injected with 50 µg/mL intravitreal voriconazole (10-fold MIC), small focal retinal necroses were occasionally noticed in the outer retina (Figure 2B). In these necrotic...
areas, photoreceptor layer and inner nuclear layer were disorganized. Photoreceptor degeneration was evident, and photoreceptor inner and outer segments were absent. The ganglion cell layer appeared intact. In the eyes injected with 500 µg/mL intravitreal voriconazole (100-fold MIC), more focal retinal necrotic areas were found with more obvious photoreceptor degeneration and disorganization of photoreceptor and inner nuclear layers (Figure 2C). Focal retinal detachment was noticed in these necrotic areas. Inflammatory cells were also noticed in these focal retinal areas with choroidal congestion present. In the other area where focal necrosis was not observed, retina appears normal with light microscopy examination.

DISCUSSION

Our studies demonstrate that voriconazole did not cause retinal toxicity on either electroretinographic or histologic studies when intravitreal concentrations were 25 µg/mL or less. When the voriconazole concentration reached 50 µg/mL, focal retinal necrosis was occasionally noticed on histologic examination, but the ERG was not affected, since ERG is a mass electrical response from the whole retina, and focal necrosis may not cause ERG abnormalities. Although there may be species difference in retinal reaction to voriconazole, our results provide a solid reference level for its retinal toxicity. When these results are transferred on human eyes, voriconazole of 100 µg can be injected into human vitreous without causing long-term electroretinographic or histologic abnormalities based on the fact that average human vitreous volume is 4 mL. Thus voriconazole is much safer to retina than amphotericin B, since very low dosage of intravitreal amphotericin B (4.1 to 8.3 µg/mL) causes focal retinal necrosis on rabbit studies. Since voriconazole is superior or at least similar to amphotericin B against common and rare yeast and mold infections, we suggest that voriconazole should be considered as a first-line intravitreal agent for treatment of fungal endophthalmitis. A recent case report showed that endophthalmitis caused by Fusarium solani was successfully treated with intracameral, topical, and systemic voriconazole when it failed to respond to amphotericin B, fluconazole, or itraconazole.
When voriconazole was used for systemic fungal infections through either oral or intravenous administration, adverse effects were observed, including transient visual disturbance, hepatotoxicity, and skin reactions. The most frequent side effect is transient visual disturbance, described as enhanced light perception, blurred vision, photophobia, or color vision changes. These visual events occurred in 23% to 35% of patients, generally within 30 minutes of dosing, and most frequently during the first week of therapy. These events were usually mild and resolved within 30 minutes. Electroretinographic study has shown that retina is the site of these events, with decreased amplitude of ERG waveforms in human and dogs. Histologic examination showed no alterations in the retina or visual pathways in dogs as a result of voriconazole administration. No human histopathology has been found, and ocular examination has not detected any lesions. It is possible that rats might experience similar early transient visual disturbance in our studies, but this would be very difficult to determine, since visual changes have to be tested subjectively. However, we did not observe any electroretinographic or histologic abnormalities 3 weeks after intravitreal voriconazole (<25 µg/mL) injection. Thus, our results confirm previous studies of systemic voriconazole that found that electroretinographic changes, if any, occur in early stages, are transient, do not last more than 3 weeks after voriconazole administration, and do not cause permanent damage to the retina.

Pharmacokinetic studies showed that tissue concentration of voriconazole after systemic administration was highest in liver, followed by the retina. Based on this fact, we studied oral voriconazole penetration to human vitreous and aqueous humor to determine if systemic voriconazole can be used for treatment of fungal endophthalmitis. After two dosages of 400 mg oral voriconazole, vitreous and aqueous humor specimens of 14 patients were obtained from vitrectomy and analyzed with high-performance liquid chromatography. Intravitreal and intracameral concentrations of voriconazole were 0.81 ± 0.31 µg/mL and 1.13 ± 0.57 µg/mL, respectively, and were 38.1% and 53.0% of plasma concentration, respectively. Since the MIC90 of voriconazole for most of the yeast and molds is low, systemic voriconazole is a good choice for endogenous fungal endophthalmitis. For those systemic fungal infections known to be sensitive to voriconazole, oral or intravenous administration can be used to treat both the systemic infection and endophthalmitis. For those fungi, such as *Fusarium* species, in which MIC is higher than the intraocular level achieved by systemic administration, intravitreal voriconazole injection is an excellent choice for treatment. Since
voriconazole is metabolized primarily in the liver, by cytochrome P450 isoenzymes CYP2C19, CYP2Cp, and CYP3A4. Some patients may be limited from systemic voriconazole administration due to drug-drug interactions. Also, hepatotoxicity may prevent some patients from taking voriconazole systemically. Under these circumstances, intravitreal injection should be considered. When the infection is due to trauma or surgery, especially circumstances, intravitreal injection should be considered.
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DISCUSSION

Dr George W. Blankenship. During the past few years, major advances have been made in the treatment of endophthalmitis. The collaborative Vitrectomy for Endophthalmitis Clinical Trial\(^1\)\(^2\) clearly showed the value of intravitreal antibiotics, and clarified the indications for pars plana vitrectomy and biopsy of the vitreous obtained by small needle aspiration for endophthalmitis following cataract surgery. Important improvements have also been made with the development and availability of new pharmacological agents to effectively and safely treat infections caused by a wide range of organisms.

Voriconazole is a relatively new antifungal medication developed by modifying the molecular structure of Flucconazole. It has been successfully used systemically to treat a wide spectrum of yeast and mold infections. The authors recognized its additional potential value as an intravitreal medication and have shown that it is relatively safe without ERG or histologic changes in the retina at doses of up to 25 micrograms per milliliter. The greater volume of the human vitreous cavity compared to the rat model used in their laboratory research should further increase the safety of its intravitreal use.

Amphotericin B has been the most frequently used antifungal medication for endophthalmitis, but can cause retinal necrosis even at relatively low concentrations. In addition, an increasing number of types of molds and yeasts are developing resistance to Amphotericin, which further threatens its effectiveness for fungal endophthalmitis.

The continued identification, development, and availability of new treatments for endophthalmitis that are safe with relatively low risks of systemic and ocular side effects and toxicity is obviously important. The authors are congratulated on their important contributions and encouraged to continue their collaborative research.
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Dr William F. Mieler. Is the rat model appropriate? We never quite fully know for sure. There certainly have been previous studies done with other antibiotics and antifungal agents in rats and rabbits: for the most part there seems to be quite good correlation with toxicity and potential effectiveness in humans. Time will tell if our model has any shortcomings or if it appears to be reasonable to apply our data to humans.

Have there been any clinical applications of this agent at the present time? Yes, there have been two cases of which I'm aware, though neither case has yet been reported in the literature. One case involved a subtenon injection of voriconazole for a case of Fusarium scleritis which was unresponsive to amphotericin. Additionally, there has been one case of an intravitreal injection of voriconazole in a patient with Fusarium endophthalmitis, unresponsive to conventional therapy. Voriconazole was given at a dosage of 50 microns, with a second repeat injection performed approximately one week later. These are the only cases where there has been direct human ocular application of voriconazole in the present time, though I anticipate significant usage in the near future.